

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 JUL 11 AM 11:39

Clerk's Office File Stamp

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

FROM: L Odierno, Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED DATE: 7/9/08

CASE NO.: 08cv1112-DMS-LSP DOCUMENT FILED BY:

Candis Charlene Morton

CASE TITLE: Morton v People's Choice Home Loan, Inc. Et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Points and Authorities in support of Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER:</u>

Date forwarded: 7/9/08

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Sabraw

Dated: 7/10/08  
cc: All Parties

By: mm

1 Candis Charlene Morton, Plaintiff, Pro Se  
2 2568 Fenton Place  
3 National City, CA 91950  
4 Phone: (619) 267-8963  
5  
6  
7  
8

**REJECTED**

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 CANDIS CHARLENE MORTON, ) CASE NO. 08CV1112 DMA (LSP)  
12 )  
13 Plaintiff(s), ) POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN  
14 Vs. ) SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
15 ) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  
16 )  
17 PEOPLE'S CHOICE HOME LOAN, ) Date: August 22, 2008  
18 INC., ) Time: 1:30 P.M.  
19 Defendant(s). ) Judge: Dana M. Sabraw  
20 ) Date filed: June 23, 2008

21 **INTRODUCTION**

22 The underlying case in issue here concerns certain real  
23 property commonly known as 2568 Fenton Place, National City,  
24 California. That property was purchased by Plaintiff not to be  
25 an investment but to be Plaintiffs home. On or about December  
26 23, 2005 Plaintiff refinanced the property with a loan through  
27 People's Choice Home Loan, Inc. At some point, the entities  
28 America's Servicing Company, a d/b/a for Wells Fargo Bank, and  
First American Loanstar became involved with the mortgage.